BMJ Open Autoimmune pancreatitis, pancreatic and extrapancreatic cancer (AIPPEAR): a multicentre, retrospective study protocol

Julian Cardinal von Widdern , ¹ Cecilie Siggaard Knoph, ² Karri Kase, ³ Ivonne Regel, ⁴ Jonas Rosendahl, ¹ Christoph Ammer-Hermenau, ⁵ Sara Nikolic ⁶

To cite: Cardinal von Widdern J, Knoph CS, Kase K, et al. Autoimmune pancreatitis, pancreatic and extrapancreatic cancer (AIPPEAR): a multicentre, retrospective study protocol. BMJ Open 2025;15:e086824. doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2024-086824

Prepublication history and additional supplemental material for this paper are available online. To view these files, please visit the journal online (https://doi.org/10.1136/ bmjopen-2024-086824).

JCvW and CSK are joint first authors CA-H and SN are joint senior authors.

Received 23 March 2024 Accepted 29 January 2025



@ Author(s) (or their employer(s)) 2025. Re-use permitted under CC BY-NC. No commercial re-use. See rights and permissions. Published by BMJ Group.

For numbered affiliations see end of article.

Correspondence to

Dr Julian Cardinal von Widdern; juliancvw@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Introduction Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) mainly manifests in two distinct forms with different clinical. serological and prognostic characteristics. Previous studies indicated a higher risk of malignancy in AIP patients compared with the general population. However, a direct comparison of cancer incidence in AIP patients with controls from the general population has not been conducted yet.

Methods and analysis This is an international, multicentre, retrospective study on patients diagnosed with AIP after 2005. Retrospective data regarding demography, AIP characteristics and cancer incidence will be extracted from the medical files of AIP patients. The primary outcome is the standardised incidence ratio of any first invasive cancer after AIP diagnosis compared with the general population. The expected number of cancers in the general population will be determined using the 'Cancer Incidence in Five Continents Volume XI' registry. Secondary outcomes are the prevalence of all cancer diagnoses within 12 months prior to AIP diagnosis and AIP features associated with a cancer diagnosis.

Ethics and dissemination This study was approved by the ethics committees of the autoimmune pancreatitis, pancreatic and extrapancreatic cancer (AIPPEAR) core group centres (Halle (Saale), Germany; Aalborg, Denmark; Tartu, Estonia; Munich, Germany: Göttingen, Germany: Maribor, Slovenia, with the following reference numbers: 2023-204, 2023-029953. 382/T-3, 24-0768, 9/7/23, UKC-MB-KME 59/23, respectively). Where required, the study protocol will be reviewed and approved by the ethics committees of participating centres in compliance with local regulations. Data will be stored in an electronic case report form within REDCap. In this context, the AIPPEAR core group will share joint responsibility for the data. All results from this study will be submitted to international, peer-reviewed journals and presented at international

Trial registration number NCT06328101.

INTRODUCTION **Background**

Autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) is a relapsing form of pancreatitis, comprising mainly two histological entities differing in clinical, serological and prognostic characteristics. Type 1 AIP, histologically defined as lymphoplasmacytic sclerosing pancreatitis,

STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS OF THIS STUDY

- ⇒ The adoption of a worldwide multicentric design ensures a large, robust and diverse study population, offering a representative cross-section of patients with autoimmune pancreatitis (AIP) and enhancing the generalisability of our findings.
- ⇒ Standardised incidence ratios are adjusted for confounding factors (eg, age, sex, geography), which will strengthen the validity of our results. The predominant recruitment from tertiary centres may introduce referral bias: consequently, data on the follow-up frequency for each patient will be collected to mitigate this.
- ⇒ Because establishing the diagnosis of AIP is challenging, the re-evaluation of the collected data, with existing diagnostic algorithms, will be performed.
- ⇒ Patients may be included based on available data. not random assignment, which can affect the validity of findings.
- ⇒ Retrospective data collection poses the risk of information and selection bias.

is a pancreatic manifestation of immunoglobulin G4 (IgG4)-related disease, often involving multiple organs. In contrast, type 2 AIP, histologically defined as idiopathic ductcentric pancreatitis, is an isolated pancreatic disorder strongly associated with the simultaneous occurrence of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). A subset of patients cannot be clearly classified into either type, termed nototherwise-specified (NOS) AIP.²³ The clinical presentation differs between AIP subtypes. Type 1 AIP commonly presents with jaundice and less frequently with abdominal pain in contrast to type 2 AIP, which presents often as abdominal pain and acute pancreatitis and less frequently with jaundice.4 Treatment is indicated in symptomatic patients or asymptomatic individuals with persistent pancreatic mass or laboratory findings suggesting active disease.⁵ Glucocorticoids are the first-line therapy to achieve remission effectively. Relapse is common and can be managed by another glucocorticoid course



or immunosuppressive therapy such as azathioprine or B cell depleting agent, rituximab. Pancreatic exocrine and endocrine insufficiency may occur at diagnosis or develop over time despite treatment. ^{6–8}

While it is widely acknowledged that chronic pancreatitis increases the risk of pancreatic cancer, the association between AIP and pancreatic cancer remains controversial. AIP can mimic pancreatic cancer, and coincidence has been reported. Retrospective data from Japan suggested an increased risk of pancreatic and bile duct cancer in patients with AIP. 10 Another Japanese study suggested a higher incidence of extrapancreatic cancer in AIP patients compared with the general population. 11 German single-centre data support this claim. 12 The most frequently reported cancers include lung, gastric and prostate cancer, constituting approximately 50% of all cancers detected at or after the diagnosis of AIP. Available data need to be interpreted with caution as no studies have yet compared the incidence of the most common cancers in AIP patients directly to age-grouped and gender-matched controls in the general population.¹³

Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to determine the incidence of any first invasive cancer in all patients diagnosed with AIP compared with the general population.

The secondary objectives are to determine the characteristics of AIP patients associated with the incidence of cancer and to assess the overall prevalence of cancer in AIP patients.

Study design

This is a worldwide, multicentre, retrospective cohort study of AIP patients. The study is embedded in the Pancreas2000 framework and will include several international study centres with expertise in the observation and treatment of patients with AIP.

METHODS AND ANALYSIS

Participants and setting

Centres specialising in the treatment of pancreatitis worldwide will be invited to participate in the study. The recruitment of participating centres is scheduled to commence in May 2024, with the anticipated completion of patient enrolment set for September 2025. An extension of the enrolment period may be considered if necessary. Patients with AIP will be identified locally, and information for characterisation of risk factors, AIP and cancer will be retrospectively extracted from the medical files. The core group comprises six centres from Denmark, Germany, Slovenia and Estonia.

Eligibility criteria

Inclusion criteria

▶ Patients diagnosed with AIP after 2005, including type 1, type 2 and NOS AIP, regardless of the diagnostic criteria used.

Exclusion criteria

- Patients younger than 18 years at last contact.
- Patients with less than 12 months of follow-up after AIP diagnosis.
- ▶ Patients with immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced pancreatitis.

Follow-up time

Follow-up time is defined as from the date of diagnosis until the last available contact with the patient.

Outcomes

The primary outcome is the following:

► The standardised incidence ratio (SIR) of the first invasive cancer occurring after the diagnosis of AIP compared with the general population. The SIRs are adjusted for confounding factors (age, sex, geography).

Secondary outcomes are the following:

- ► The prevalence of all cancer diagnoses within 12 months prior to AIP diagnosis.
- ► AIP features associated with cancer diagnosis.

Data collection

As part of the electronic case report form, demographic information, AIP diagnosis details, clinical AIP characteristics and AIP treatment characteristics are recorded. Basic patient data, including age, gender, height, weight, ethnicity and survival are supplemented with a cancerspecific risk profile, encompassing factors such as smoking, alcohol consumption, family history of cancer and AIP-related comorbidities like other autoimmune diseases and IBDs. Individual diagnostic criteria of the international consensus diagnostic criteria (ICDC), such as histology, IgG4 levels, imaging findings and steroid sensitivity, are queried separately. The manifestation of AIP (involvement of other organs, symptoms, complications), the course of treatment (medications, interventions, surgeries, relapses) and frequency of follow-up will also be recorded. Cancer is defined in alignment with the definitions given by the WHO¹⁴ and subsequently grouped into pancreatic cancer, other hepatobiliary cancers, other digestive system cancers and all other cancers. Additionally, details about the duration and intensity of follow-up are requested.

The core dataset of the AIPPEAR study is presented in table 1.

Data management

Retrospective data will be transferred to an electronic case report form kept within the electronic and secure REDCap database¹⁵ with all core group sites sharing joint data responsibility. All data will be pseudonymised on entry into the electronic case report form, and the principal investigator will securely keep the codes at each participating site. The principal investigator at each site will also be responsible for the quality of data collection at their site.



Demographic details	AIP characteristics	Cancer diagnosis
Month and year of birth	Month and year of diagnosis AIP	Month and year of diagnosis of cancer
Survival status month and year of death, if applicable	The classification system used for original diagnosis (ICDC, HISORT, Asian, Unify)	Number of cancer diseases (1,2,3,4)
Gender (male, female)	ICDC diagnosis type (type 1, type 2, NOS AIP)	Cancer type (list according to the WHO)
Ethnicity (Caucasian, Hispanic, African, Asian, Arabic, Other, Unknown)	ICDC diagnosis level (definite, probable)	Cancer-related death (yes, no)
Weight and height for the purpose of calculating body mass index	ICDC parameters fulfilled for diagnosis (histology, serum IgG4, imaging, improvement after steroid treatment, other organ involvement)	In case of more than one cancer, specifically which cancer caused death
Tobacco status (current, former, never) including 'smoking pack-years', if applicable	Serum IgG4 (1–2 over upper limit, >2 over the upper limit, >4 over the upper limit)	
Alcohol consumption (current daily, current occasionally, former, never, not available)	Imaging (focal enlargement, whole organ enlargement (sausage-like), other)	
History of other autoimmune diseases (not IgG4-related) (no, Sjögren's' syndrome, rheumatoid arthritis, sarcoidosis, autoimmune thyroiditis (not IgG4 related), other)	Other organ involvement (salivary/lacrymal glands, retroperitoneum/kidneys, bile ducts/liver, musculoskeletal system, gastrointestinal tract: intestines, colon, oesophagus, vasculitis (eg, aortitis), enlarged lymph nodes, IBD)	
History of IBD (yes, no, unknown)	Presenting symptoms of AIP (none, jaundice, acute pancreatitis, weight loss, abdominal pain, new onset of diabetes)	
Family history of cancer (yes, no, unknown)	Medical treatment for AIP (prednisone, rituximab, azathioprine, 6-mercaptopurine, methotrexate, mycophenolate mofetil, other)	
	Interventional treatment for AIP (partial pancreatectomy, biliary stent placement, other)	
	AIP relapse (no relapse, 1–2 relapse(s), 3–4 relapses, >5 relapses, unknown)	
	AIP-related complications (no, diabetes mellitus, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency, other)	
	Month and year of last contact	

AIP, autoimmune pancreatitis; AIPPEAR, autoimmune pancreatitis, pancreatic and extrapancreatic cancer; HISORT, Histology, Imaging, Serology, Other organ invovlment, Respond to steroid therapy; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; ICDC, international consensus diagnostic criteria; IgG4, immunoglobulin G4; NOS, not-otherwise-specified.

Statistical methods

Patients with insufficient data on diagnostic variables regarding the diagnosis of AIP will be excluded from the final analysis. Other missing data will be addressed by multiple imputation techniques.

Continuous variables will be presented as mean \pm SD or median IQR, depending on normality, which will be tested using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Counted data will be presented as absolute counts and proportions.

The primary endpoint for the study will be the SIR for the incidence of any cancer and specific subtypes of cancer in AIP patients. SIR will be calculated between the observed and the expected number of cancers. The

expected number of cancers will be determined using the 'Cancer Incidence in Five Continents Volume XI' registry. Given the Poisson distribution, the probability mass function test will be calculated (\times = patientyears in the AIP group, λ = patient years from the registry database) for any cancer and for specific subtypes of cancer. Sensitivity analyses will exclude patients diagnosed with pancreatic cancer within 12 and 24 months after AIP diagnosis, respectively. SIR will be adjusted for potential confounding factors (age, sex, geography).

The secondary endpoint is the prevalence of any cancer in patients with AIP. Also, the OR for cancer incidence will be calculated to assess the association of AIP patient characteristics with different clinical subgroupings (eg, type 1 vs type 2, seropositive type 1 vs seronegative type 2, focal pancreatic enlargement on imaging vs no pancreatic enlargement on imaging, post-pancreatitis diabetes mellitus (DM) vs no DM, pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) vs no PEI, maintenance treatment vs no maintenance treatment, relapse vs no relapse). ORs and corresponding 95% CI will be illustrated in a forest plot. All statistical calculations will be conducted in R V.4.2 or greater.

Patient and public involvement

Patients and the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination of this study.

ETHICS AND DISSEMINATION Research ethics approval

This study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committees of the centres within the AIPPEAR core group, including the University Hospital Halle (Saale) (Germany), The North Denmark Region Aalborg University Hospital (Denmark), Tartu University Hospital (Estonia), LMU University Hospital Munich (Germany), University Medical Center Göttingen (Germany) and University Medical Centre Maribor (Slovenia), under the following reference numbers: 2023-204, 2023-029953, 382/T-3, 24–0768, 9/7/23 and UKC-MB-KME 59/23, respectively. Furthermore, the study protocol will be reviewed and approved by the respective ethics committees at participating centres in accordance with their local regulatory requirements. Initial local ethical approval was obtained from University Medical Center Göttingen, Germany.

Confidentiality

Prior to data analysis, all data will be anonymised, and any identifying information will be removed. Sensitive information about subjects in the study will be kept securely locally at each site under the responsibility of the primary investigator.

Declaration of interests

The entire AIPPEAR core group declares no conflicts of interest.

Access to data

Each site will have access to its own data, but the entire dataset will be accessible to the AIPPEAR core group only. In this context, the AIPPEAR group will have joint responsibility for the data, and thus, all six centres have entered into a collaboration agreement and a joint responsibility agreement prior to the onset of the study. The Data Transfer Agreement will be signed by the participating centres, if required (online supplemental appendix 1).

Dissemination policy

All results from this study will be disseminated through publication in international, peer-reviewed journals and presentations at international conferences. Coauthorships will be assigned to collaborators according to the rules defined in the AIPPEAR Study Group Agreement (online supplemental appendix 2).

Author affiliations

¹Department for Internal Medicine I (Gastroenterology, Pulmonology), University Hospital Halle Department of Internal Medicine, Halle, Germany

²Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Aalborg University Hospital, Aalborg, Denmark

³Department of General and Plastic Surgery, Tartu University Hospital, Tartu, Estonia ⁴Department of Medicine II, University Hospital of Munich, Munchen, Germany

⁵Department for Gastroenterology, Gastrointestinal Oncology and Endocrinology, University Hospital Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany

⁶Department of Gastroenterology and Endoscopy, University Medical Center Maribor, Maribor, Slovenia

Contributors Concept and idea: SN; statistical development: CA-H; mentoring and scientific supervision: JR and IR; drafting and revision of the protocol: JCvW, CSK, SN, KK, CA-H, JR and IR; clarification of legal requirements: CSK; creation and revision of the REDCAP form: CA-H. The guarantor is SN.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests None declared.

Patient and public involvement Patients and/or the public were not involved in the design, conduct, reporting or dissemination plans of this research.

Patient consent for publication Not applicable.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Supplemental material This content has been supplied by the author(s). It has not been vetted by BMJ Publishing Group Limited (BMJ) and may not have been peer-reviewed. Any opinions or recommendations discussed are solely those of the author(s) and are not endorsed by BMJ. BMJ disclaims all liability and responsibility arising from any reliance placed on the content. Where the content includes any translated material, BMJ does not warrant the accuracy and reliability of the translations (including but not limited to local regulations, clinical guidelines, terminology, drug names and drug dosages), and is not responsible for any error and/or omissions arising from translation and adaptation or otherwise.

Open access This is an open access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited, appropriate credit is given, any changes made indicated, and the use is non-commercial. See: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/.

ORCID iD

Julian Cardinal von Widdern http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2272-6094

REFERENCES

- 1 Löhr J-M, Beuers U, Vujasinovic M, et al. European Guideline on IgG4-related digestive disease - UEG and SGF evidence-based recommendations. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2020;8:637–66.
- Shimosegawa T, Chari ST, Frulloni L, et al. International Consensus Diagnostic Criteria for Autoimmune Pancreatitis. Pancreas 2011:40:352–8.
- 3 Nikolic S, Lanzillotta M, Panic N, et al. Unraveling the relationship between autoimmune pancreatitis type 2 and inflammatory bowel disease: Results from two centers and systematic review of the literature. *United European Gastroenterol J* 2022;10:496–506.
- 4 Webster GJ. Autoimmune Pancreatitis A Riddle Wrapped in an Enigma. *Dig Dis* 2016;34:532–9.
- 5 Okazaki K, Chari ST, Frulloni L, et al. International consensus for the treatment of autoimmune pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2017;17:1–6.
- 6 Lee HW, Moon S-H, Kim M-H, et al. Relapse rate and predictors of relapse in a large single center cohort of type 1 autoimmune pancreatitis: long-term follow-up results after steroid therapy with short-duration maintenance treatment. J Gastroenterol 2018;53:967–77.



- 7 Lanzillotta M, Tacelli M, Falconi M, et al. Incidence of endocrine and exocrine insufficiency in patients with autoimmune pancreatitis at diagnosis and after treatment: a systematic review and metaanalysis. Eur J Intern Med 2022;100:83–93.
- 8 Nikolic S, Maisonneuve P, Dahlman I, et al. Exocrine and Endocrine Insufficiency in Autoimmune Pancreatitis: A Matter of Treatment or Time? J Clin Med 2022;11:3724.
- 9 Lowenfels AB, Maisonneuve P, Cavallini G, et al. Pancreatitis and the Risk of Pancreatic Cancer. N Engl J Med 1993;328:1433–7.
- 10 Kurita Y, Kubota K, Fujita Y, et al. IgG4-related pancreatobiliary diseases could be associated with onset of pancreatobiliary cancer: A multicenter cohort study. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2024;31:173–82.
- 11 Shiokawa M, Kodama Y, Yoshimura K, et al. Risk of cancer in patients with autoimmune pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2013;108:610–7.

- 12 Schneider A, Hirth M, Münch M, et al. Risk of Cancer in Patients with Autoimmune Pancreatitis: A Single-Center Experience from Germany. *Digestion* 2017;95:172–80.
- 13 Okamoto A, Watanabe T, Kamata K, et al. Recent Updates on the Relationship between Cancer and Autoimmune Pancreatitis. Intern Med 2019;58:1533–9.
- 14 Bray F, Colombet M, Mery L, et al. Cancer incidence in five continents, vol. xi. lyon: international agency for research on cancer. Lyon International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2017. Available: https://ci5.iarc.fr [accessed 03 May 2023]
- Harris PA, Taylor R, Thielke R, et al. Research electronic data capture (REDCap)--a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support. J Biomed Inform 2009;42:377–81.